MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	**AMBULATORY EVENT MONITORS AND MOBILE CARDIAC OUTPATIENT TELEMETRY**
POLICY NUMBER	**MP 2.036**

CLINICAL BENEFIT	☒ MINIMIZE SAFETY RISK OR CONCERN. ☐ MINIMIZE HARMFUL OR INEFFECTIVE INTERVENTIONS. ☐ ASSURE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CARE. ☐ ASSURE APPROPRIATE DURATION OF SERVICE FOR INTERVENTIONS. ☒ ASSURE THAT RECOMMENDED MEDICAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN MET. ☐ ASSURE APPROPRIATE SITE OF TREATMENT OR SERVICE.
Effective Date:	**5/1/2024**

I. POLICY

Ambulatory Event Monitor

The use of patient-activated or auto-activated external ambulatory event monitors (AEM) or continuous ambulatory monitors that record and store information for periods longer than 48 hours may be considered **medically necessary** as a diagnostic alternative to Holter monitoring in the following situations:

- Individuals who experience infrequent symptoms (less frequently than every 48 hours)
- Individuals who have undergone a nondiagnostic Holter monitor for symptoms suggestive of cardiac arrhythmias (i.e., palpitations, dizziness, presyncope, or syncope).
- Individuals who have been treated with catheter ablation, and in whom discontinuation of systemic anticoagulation is being considered or to document the results of an ablative procedure for arrhythmia
- Individuals in whom antiarrhythmic drug therapy has been initiated or withdrawn to document the results of the intervention
- Individuals with cryptogenic stroke who have a negative standard work-up for atrial fibrillation (AF) and in whom the results of a 24 hour Holter monitor are likely to be nondiagnostic
- Individuals suspected of having cardiac ischemia to record electrocardiographic changes

The use of AEM more than once in any given 30 day period is **not medically necessary**.

The use of external ambulatory event monitors for all other indications is considered to be **investigational**. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Implantable Cardiac Loop Recorder

POLICY TITLE	AMBULATORY EVENT MONITORS AND MOBILE CARDIAC OUTPATIENT TELEMETRY
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.036

The use of Implantable Cardiac Loop Recorder, either patient-activated or auto-activated, may be considered **medically necessary** in the following situations:

- In Individuals who experience recurrent symptoms thought to be due to a cardiac arrhythmia so infrequently that prior evaluation with an AEMs or Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry **(**MCOT) has been unsuccessful.
- In Individuals with cryptogenic stroke who have had a negative standard work-up for AF, including evaluation with an external ambulatory event monitor or MCOT (see Policy Guidelines section)

The use of Implantable Cardiac Loop Recorder is considered to be **investigational** for all other indications. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry (MCOT, Real-Time Outpatient Cardiac Monitoring, Ambulatory Electrocardiography [AECG])

Mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry may be considered **medically necessary** for any of the following indications when the use of a Holter Monitor or other AEM has not or would not be diagnostic:

Adult Clinical Criteria

- To establish the diagnosis or management of recurrent symptoms related to an arrhythmia (i.e., presyncope, syncope, dizziness, or palpitations) that occur less frequently than once every 48 hours for which a diagnosis or treatment has not been determined after standard diagnostic workup (e.g., complete clinical history and physical examination, standard 12-lead electrocardiography [ECG], cardiac imaging)
- Prolonged monitoring is required specifically to ensure the absence of AF prior to discontinuation of anticoagulation therapy
- To monitor for the purpose of regulating antiarrhythmic drug dosages
- To monitor Individuals who have had surgical or ablative procedures for arrhythmias
- For diagnosis in Individuals who experienced a cryptogenic stroke and have a negative work-up for AF when the etiology of the symptoms/conditions of arrhythmia has not been determined after standard diagnostic workup (e.g., a complete clinical history and physical examination, standard 12-lead ECG, cardiac imaging)

Pediatric Clinical Criteria

In accord with the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA), indications for pediatric AECG monitoring, including MCOT monitoring, may be considered **medically necessary** for the evaluation of the following indications:

- Antiarrhythmic drug efficacy

- Asymptomatic congenital atrioventricular (AV) block, nonpaced
- Syncope, near syncope, or dizziness with recognized heart disease, previously documented arrhythmia, or pacemaker dependency
- Syncope or near syncope associated with exertion when the cause is not established by other methods
- Hypertrophic or dilated cardiac myopathies
- Possible or documented long QT syndromes
- Palpitations in individuals with prior surgery for congenital heart disease and significant residual hemodynamic abnormalities

The use of MCOT or AEOG is considered to be **investigational** for all other indications. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Policy Guidelines for **Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry**

Real-time outpatient cardiac monitoring is contraindicated for use in individuals at high risk of developing sustained ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation and/or would be more appropriately cared for in a hospital setting.

This service is not indicated for all Individuals with arrhythmias. It should be used only in circumstances where traditional Holter monitoring or cardiac event recording is not expected to provide adequate information or has been unrevealing.

This system is also not indicated for use as a screening tool.

This monitoring is expected to not be reported more than once in a 30-day period and is expected to not be reported more than twice in a twelve month period.

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS TOP

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital Blue Cross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

FEP PPO - Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies.

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND TOP

Various devices are available for outpatient cardiac rhythm monitoring. These devices differ in the types of monitoring leads used, the duration and continuity of monitoring, the ability to detect arrhythmias without patient intervention, and the mechanism of delivering the information from

patient to clinician. These devices may be used to evaluate symptoms suggestive of arrhythmias (eg, syncope, palpitations), and may be used to detect atrial fibrillation (AF) in patients who have undergone cardiac ablation of AF or who have a history of cryptogenic stroke.

Cardiac Arrhythmias

Cardiac monitoring is routinely used in the inpatient setting to detect acute changes in heart rate or rhythm that may need urgent response. For some conditions, a more prolonged period of monitoring in the ambulatory setting is needed to detect heart rate or rhythm abnormalities that may occur infrequently. These cases may include the diagnosis of arrhythmias in patients with signs and symptoms suggestive of arrhythmias as well as the evaluation of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF).

Cardiac arrhythmias may be suspected because of symptoms suggestive of arrhythmias, including palpitations, dizziness, or syncope or presyncope, or because of abnormal heart rate or rhythm noted on exam. A full discussion of the differential diagnosis and evaluation of each of these symptoms is beyond the scope of this review, but some general principles on the use of ambulatory monitoring are discussed.

Arrhythmias are an important potential cause of syncope or near syncope, which in some cases may be described as dizziness. An electrocardiogram (ECG) is generally indicated whenever there is suspicion of a cardiac cause of syncope. Some arrhythmic causes will be apparent on ECG. However, for patients in whom an ECG is not diagnostic, longer monitoring may be indicated. The 2009 joint guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology and 3 other medical specialty societies suggested that, in individuals with clinical or ECG features suggesting an arrhythmic syncope, ECG monitoring is indicated; the guidelines also stated that the “duration (and technology) of monitoring should be selected according to the risk and the predicted recurrence rate of syncope. Similarly, guidelines from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2014) on the evaluation of transient loss of consciousness, have recommended the use of an ambulatory ECG in individuals with a suspected arrhythmic cause of syncope. The type and duration of monitoring recommended is based on the individual’s history, particularly the frequency of transient loss of consciousness. The Holter monitor is recommended if transient loss of consciousness occurs several times a week. If the frequency of transient loss of consciousness is every 1 to 2 weeks, an external event recorder is recommended; and if the frequency is less than once every 2 weeks, an implantable event recorder is recommended.

Similar to syncope, the evaluation and management of palpitations is patient-specific. In cases where the initial history, examination, and ECG findings are suggestive of an arrhythmia, some form of ambulatory ECG monitoring is indicated. A position paper from the European Heart Rhythm Association (2011) indicated that, for individuals with palpitations of unknown origin who have clinical features suggestive of arrhythmia, referral for specialized evaluation with consideration for ambulatory ECG monitoring is indicated.

Atrial Fibrillation Detection

AF is the most common arrhythmia in adults. It may be asymptomatic or be associated with a broad range of symptoms, including lightheadedness, palpitations, dyspnea, and a variety of more nonspecific symptoms (e.g., fatigue, malaise). It is classified as paroxysmal, persistent, or permanent based on symptom duration. Diagnosed AF may be treated with antiarrhythmic medications with the goal of rate or rhythm control. Other treatments include direct cardioversion, catheter-based radiofrequency- or cryo-energy-based ablation, or one of several surgical techniques, depending on the patient's comorbidities and associated symptoms.

Stroke in AF occurs primarily as a result of thromboembolism from the left atrium. The lack of atrial contractions in AF leads to blood stasis in the left atrium, and this low flow state increases the risk of thrombosis. The area of the left atrium with the lowest blood flow in AF, and therefore the highest risk of thrombosis, is the left atrial appendage. Multiple clinical trials have demonstrated that anticoagulation reduces the ischemic stroke risk in patients at moderate- or high-risk of thromboembolic events. Oral anticoagulation in patients with AF reduces the risk of subsequent stroke and is recommended by American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and Heart Rhythm Society (2014) joint guidelines on patients with a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack.

Ambulatory ECG monitoring may play a role in several situations in the detection of AF. In patients who have undergone ablative treatment for AF, if ongoing AF can be excluded with reasonable certainty, including paroxysmal AF which may not be apparent on ECG during an office visit, anticoagulation therapy could potentially be stopped. In some cases where identifying paroxysmal AF is associated with potential changes in management, longer term monitoring may be considered. There are well-defined management changes that occur in patients with AF. However, until relatively recently the specific role of long-term (ie, greater than 48 hours) monitoring in AF was not well-described.

Patients with cryptogenic stroke are often monitored for the presence of AF, because AF is estimated to be the cause of cryptogenic stroke in more than 10% of patients, and AF increases the risk of stroke. Paroxysmal AF confers an elevated risk of stroke, just as persistent and permanent AF do. In individuals with a high risk of stroke, particularly those with a history of ischemic stroke that is unexplained by other causes, prolonged monitoring to identify paroxysmal AF has been investigated.

Cardiac Rhythm Ambulatory Monitoring Devices

Ambulatory cardiac monitoring with a variety of devices permits the evaluation of cardiac electrical activity over time, in contrast to a static ECG, which only permits the detection of abnormalities in cardiac electrical activity at a single point in time.

A Holter monitor is worn continuously and records cardiac electrical output continuously throughout the recording period. Holter monitors are capable of recording activity for up to about 24 to 72 hours. Traditionally, most Holter monitors had 3 channels based on 3 ECG leads. However, some currently available Holter monitors have up to 12 channels. Holter monitors are an accepted

intervention in a variety of settings where a short period (24-48 hours) of comprehensive cardiac rhythm assessment is needed (e.g., suspected arrhythmias when symptoms [syncope, palpitations] are occurring daily). These devices are not the focus of this review.

Various classes of devices are available for situations where longer monitoring than can be obtained with a traditional Holter monitor is needed. Because there may be many devices within each category, a comprehensive description of each device is beyond our scope. Devices vary in how data are transmitted to the location where the ECG output is interpreted. Data may be transmitted via cellular phone or landline, or by direct download from the device after its return to the monitoring center. The device classes are described in Table 1.

Table 1. Ambulatory Cardiac Rhythm Monitoring Devices

Device Class	Description	Device Examples
Noncontinuous devices with memory (event recorder)	Devices not worn continuously but rather activated by patient and applied to skin in the precordial area when symptoms develop	• Zio® Event Card (iRhythm Technologies) • REKA E100™ (REKA Health)
Continuous recording devices with longer recording periods	Devices continuously worn and continuously record via one or more cardiac leads and store data longer than traditional Holter (14 days)	• Zio® XT Patch and ZIO ECG Utilization Service (ZEUS) System (iRhythm Technologies)
External memory loop devices (patient or autotriggered)	Devices continuously worn and store a single channel of ECG data in a refreshed memory. When the device is activated, the ECG is then recorded from the memory loop for the preceding 30-90 seconds and for next 60 seconds or so. Devices may be activated by a patient when symptoms occur (patient-triggered) or by an automated algorithm when changes suggestive of an arrhythmia are detected (autotriggered).	• Patient-triggered: Explorer™ Looping Monitor (LifeWatch Services) • Auto-triggered: LifeStar AF Express™ Auto-Detect Looping Monitor (LifeWatch Services) • Auto-triggered or patient-triggered: King of Hearts Express® AF (Card Guard Scientific Survival)
Implantable memory loop devices (patient- or autotriggered)	Devices similar in design to external memory loop devices but implanted under the skin in the precordial region	• Auto-triggered or patient-triggered: Reveal® XT ICM (Medtronic) and Confirm Rx Insertable™ Cardiac Monitor (Abbott)

		• Auto-triggered: BioMonitor, (Biotronik)
Mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry	Continuously recording or autotriggered memory loop devices that transmit data to a central recording station with real-time monitoring and analysis	• CardioNet MCOT (BioTelemetry) • LifeStar Mobile Cardiac Telemetry (LifeWatch Services) • Zio AT(iRhythm)

ECG: electrocardiogram

There are also devices that combine features of multiple classes. For example, the LifeStar ACT Ex Holter (LifeWatch Services) is a 3-channel Holter monitor, but is converted to a mobile cardiac telemetry system if a diagnosis is inconclusive after 24 to 48 hours of monitoring. The BodyGuardian® Heart Remote Monitoring System (Preventice Services) is an external autotriggered memory loop device that can be converted to a real-time monitoring system. The eCardio Verité™ system (eCardio) can switch between a patient-activated event monitor and a continuous telemetry monitor. The Spiderflash-T (LivaNova) is an example of an external auto-triggered or patient-triggered loop recorder, but, like the Zio Patch, can record 2 channels for 14 to 40 days.

REGULATORY STATUS

Some of the newer devices are described in the Background section for informational purposes. Because there may be many devices within each category, a comprehensive description of individual devices is beyond the scope of this review. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) product codes include: DSH, DXH, DQK, DSI, MXD, MHX.

IV. RATIONALE

TOP

Summary of Evidence

Ambulatory Event Monitoring

For individuals who have signs and/or symptoms suggestive of arrhythmia(s) who receive patient- or autoactivated external ambulatory event monitoring or continuous ambulatory monitoring storing information for more than 48 hours, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective studies reporting on the diagnostic yield. Relevant outcomes are overall survival and morbid events. Observational studies have consistently shown that continuous monitoring with longer recording periods detects more arrhythmias than 24- or 48-hour Holter monitoring. Particularly for patients who, without the more prolonged monitoring, would only undergo shorter term monitoring, the diagnostic yield is likely to identify arrhythmias that may have therapeutic implications. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AF following ablation who receive long-term ambulatory cardiac monitoring, the evidence includes one randomized controlled trial (RCT) comparing ambulatory

event monitoring with standard care and several observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT evaluating a long-term monitoring strategy after catheter ablation for AF reported significantly higher rates of AF detection. The available evidence has suggested that long-term monitoring for AF postablation is associated with improved outcomes. However, the specific type of monitoring associated with the best outcomes is not established, because different long-term monitoring devices were used across the studies. Trials demonstrating improved outcomes have used event monitors or implantable monitors. In addition, there are individual patient considerations that may make one type of monitor preferable over another. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cryptogenic stroke with a negative standard workup for AF who receive long-term ambulatory cardiac monitoring, the evidence includes systematic reviews of RCTs comparing ambulatory event monitoring with standard care. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Randomized controlled trials evaluating a long-term AF monitoring strategy poststroke have reported significantly higher rates of AF detection with longer term ambulatory monitoring. The available evidence has suggested that long-term monitoring for AF after cryptogenic stroke is associated with improved outcomes, but the specific type of monitoring associated with the best outcomes is not established, because different long-term monitoring devices were used across the studies. Trials demonstrating improved outcomes have used event monitors or implantable monitors. In addition, there are individual patient considerations that may make one type of monitor preferable over another. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are asymptomatic with risk factors for AF who receive long-term ambulatory cardiac monitoring, the evidence includes RCTs and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overcall survival, morbid events, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Multiple observational studies showed that the use of ambulatory monitors would result in higher AF detection compared with routine care. Randomized controlled trials found higher AF detection and initiation of anticoagulants with monitoring, but no impact on health outcomes. The only RCT (LOOP Trial) with sufficient statistical power and duration to evaluate health outcomes found no difference between monitoring and standard care on the primary endpoint of combined stroke or systemic arterial embolism (HR 0.80; 95% CI 0.61 to 1.05; P =.11) or any secondary endpoints after 6 years of follow-up. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Implantable Loop Recording

For individuals who have signs and/or symptoms suggestive of arrhythmia with infrequent symptoms who receive patient- or autoactivated implantable ambulatory event monitoring, the evidence includes RCTs comparing implantable loop recorderings with shorter term monitoring, usually 24- to 48-hour Holter monitoring, and many observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Studies assessing prolonged implantable loop recorders in patients have reported high rates of arrhythmia detection

compared with shorter external event or Holter monitoring. These studies have supported use of a progression in diagnostics from an external event monitor to implantable loop recorder when longer monitoring is needed. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Outpatient Cardiac Telemetry

For individuals who have signs and/or symptoms suggestive of arrhythmia who receive outpatient cardiac telemetry, the evidence includes an RCT and nonrandomized studies evaluating rates of arrhythmia detection using outpatient cardiac telemetry. Relevant outcomes are overall survival and morbid events. The available evidence has suggested that outpatient cardiac telemetry is at least as good at detecting arrhythmias as ambulatory event monitoring. However, studies have not evaluated whether the real-time monitoring feature of outpatient cardiac telemetry leads to reduced cardiac events and mortality. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes. However according to many guidelines from the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/the Heart Rhythm Society, outpatient cardiac telemetry is recommended when other AEMs have not been diagnostic.

V. DEFINITIONS

TOP

HOLTER MONITOR is a portable device small enough to be worn by a patient during normal activity. It consists of an electrocardiograph and a recording system capable of storing up to twenty-four hours of the patient's EKG record.

MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION is the loss of heart muscle as a result of coronary artery occlusion.

SYNCOPE is a sudden but transient total loss of consciousness with spontaneous resolution.

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

TOP

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are excluded, which are subject to benefit limits and which require preauthorization. There are different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital Blue Cross. Members and providers should consult the member's health benefit plan for information or contact Capital Blue Cross for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

TOP

Capital Blue Cross's medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member's benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member's benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member's plan of benefits,

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

TOP

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Ambulatory Event Monitors are Covered when Medically Necessary:

Procedure Codes								
0650T	93241	93242	93243	93244	93245	93246	93247	93248
93268	93270	93271	93272					

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code	Description
G45.9	Transient cerebral ischemic attack, unspecified
I20.1	Angina pectoris with documented spasm
I20.2	Refractory angina pectoris
I24.81	Acute coronary microvascular dysfunction
I24.89	Other forms of acute ischemic heart disease
I24.9	Acute ischemic heart disease, unspecified
I25.112	Atherosclerotic heart disease of native coronary artery with refractory angina pectoris
I25.702	Atherosclerosis of coronary artery bypass graft(s), unspecified, with refractory angina pectoris
I25.712	Atherosclerosis of autologous vein coronary artery bypass graft(s) with refractory angina pectoris
I25.722	Atherosclerosis of autologous artery coronary artery bypass graft(s) with refractory angina pectoris
I25.732	Atherosclerosis of nonautologous biological coronary artery bypass graft(s) with refractory angina pectoris
I25.752	Atherosclerosis of native coronary artery of transplanted heart with refractory angina pectoris
I25.762	Atherosclerosis of bypass graft of coronary artery of transplanted heart with refractory angina pectoris
I25.792	Atherosclerosis of other coronary artery bypass graft(s) with refractory angina pectoris

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code	Description
I25.82	Chronic total occlusion of coronary artery
I42.0	Dilated cardiomyopathy
I42.1	Obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
I42.2	Other hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
I42.8	Other cardiomyopathies
I44.0	Atrioventricular block, first degree
I44.1	Atrioventricular block, second degree
I44.2	Atrioventricular block, complete
I44.30	Unspecified atrioventricular block
I44.39	Other atrioventricular block
I44.4	Left anterior fascicular block
I44.5	Left posterior fascicular block
I44.60	Unspecified fascicular block
I44.69	Other fascicular block
I44.7	Left bundle-branch block, unspecified
I45.0	Right fascicular block
I45.10	Unspecified right bundle-branch block
I45.19	Other right bundle-branch block
I45.2	Bifascicular block
I45.3	Trifascicular block
I45.4	Nonspecific intraventricular block
I45.5	Other specified heart block
I45.6	Pre-excitation syndrome
I45.81	Long QT syndrome
I45.89	Other specified conduction disorders
I45.9	Conduction disorder, unspecified
I46.2	Cardiac arrest due to underlying cardiac condition
I46.8	Cardiac arrest due to other underlying condition
I46.9	Cardiac arrest, cause unspecified
I47.0	Re-entry ventricular arrhythmia
I47.10	Supraventricular tachycardia, unspecified

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code	Description
I47.11	Inappropriate sinus tachycardia, so stated
I47.19	Other supraventricular tachycardia
I47.20	Ventricular tachycardia, unspecified
I47.29	OtherVentricular tachycardia
I47.9	Paroxysmal tachycardia, unspecified
I48.0	Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation
I48.3	Typical atrial flutter
I48.4	Atypical atrial flutter
I48.11	Longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation
I48.19	Other persistent atrial fibrillation
I48.20	Chronic atrial fibrillation, unspecified
I48.21	Permanent atrial fibrillation
I48.91	Unspecified atrial fibrillation
I48.92	Unspecified atrial flutter
I49.01	Ventricular fibrillation
I49.02	Ventricular flutter
I49.1	Atrial premature depolarization
I49.2	Junctional premature depolarization
I49.3	Ventricular premature depolarization
I49.40	Unspecified premature depolarization
I49.49	Other premature depolarization
I49.5	Sick sinus syndrome
I49.8	Other specified cardiac arrhythmias
I49.9	Cardiac arrhythmia, unspecified
I63.81	Other cerebral infarction due to occlusion or stenosis of small artery
I63.89	Other cerebral infarction
I63.9	Cerebral infarction, unspecified
I67.841	Acute cerebrovascular insufficiency
I67.848	Other cerebrovascular vasospasm and vasoconstriction
R00.0	Tachycardia, unspecified
R00.1	Bradycardia, unspecified

POLICY TITLE	AMBULATORY EVENT MONITORS AND MOBILE CARDIAC OUTPATIENT TELEMETRY
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.036

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code	Description
R00.2	Palpitations
R06.00	Dyspnea, unspecified
R06.02	Shortness of breath
R06.03	Acute respiratory distress
R06.09	Other forms of dyspnea
R06.3	Periodic breathing
R42	Dizziness and giddiness
R55	Syncope and collapse
Z79.01	Long term (current) use of anticoagulants
Z79.02	Long term (current) use of antithrombotics/antiplatelets
Z79.899	Other long term (current) drug therapy
Z86.73	Personal history of transient ischemic attack (TIA), and cerebral infarction without residual deficits
Z86.74	Personal history of sudden cardiac arrest
Z87.74	Personal history of (corrected) congenital malformations of heart and circulatory system
Z95.0	Presence of cardiac pacemaker

Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry is Covered when Medically Necessary:

Procedure Codes								
93228	93229							

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
G45.9	Transient cerebral ischemic attack, unspecified
I24.81	Acute coronary microvascular dysfunction
I24.89	Other forms of acute ischemic heart disease
I24.9	Acute ischemic heart disease, unspecified
I42.0	Dilated cardiomyopathy
I42.1	Obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
I42.2	Other hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
I44.0	Atrioventricular block, first degree
I44.1	Atrioventricular block, second degree

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
I44.2	Atrioventricular block, complete
I44.30	Unspecified atrioventricular block
I45.6	Pre-excitation syndrome
I45.81	Long QT syndrome
I45.89	Other specified conduction disorders
I47.0	Re-entry ventricular arrhythmia
I47.10	Supraventricular tachycardia, unspecified
I47.11	Inappropriate sinus tachycardia, so stated
I47.19	Other supraventricular tachycardia
I47.20	Ventricular tachycardia
I47.9	Paroxysmal tachycardia, unspecified
I48.0	Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation
I48.3	Typical atrial flutter
I48.4	Atypical atrial flutter
I48.11	Longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation
I48.19	Other persistent atrial fibrillation
I48.20	Chronic atrial fibrillation, unspecified
I48.21	Permanent atrial fibrillation
I48.91	Unspecified atrial fibrillation
I48.92	Unspecified atrial flutter
I49.1	Atrial premature depolarization
I49.2	Junctional premature depolarization
I49.3	Ventricular premature depolarization
I49.5	Sick sinus syndrome
I63.81	Other cerebral infarction due to occlusion or stenosis of small artery
I63.89	Other cerebral infarction
I63.9	Cerebral infarction, unspecified
I67.841	Acute cerebrovascular insufficiency
I67.848	Other cerebrovascular vasospasm and vasoconstriction
Q21.2	Atrioventricular septal defect
R00.0	Tachycardia, unspecified
R00.1	Bradycardia, unspecified
R00.2	Palpitations
R42	Dizziness and giddiness

POLICY TITLE	AMBULATORY EVENT MONITORS AND MOBILE CARDIAC OUTPATIENT TELEMETRY
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.036

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
R55	Syncope and collapse
Z79.01	Long term (current) use of anticoagulants
Z79.02	Long term (current) use of antithrombotics/antiplatelets
Z79.899	Other long term (current) drug therapy
Z87.74	Personal history of (corrected) congenital malformations of heart and circulatory system
Z95.0	Presence of cardiac pacemaker

Subcutaneous Cardiac Rhythm Monitoring is Covered when Medically Necessary:

Procedure Codes								
33285	33286	93285	93291	93298	C1764	E0616		

Covered if patient's prior evaluation with AEM or MCOT have been unsuccessful

IX. REFERENCES

TOP

1. *Moya A, Sutton R, Ammirati F, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope (version 2009). Eur Heart J. Nov 2009; 30(21): 2631-71. PMID 19713422*
2. *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Transient loss of consciousness ('blackouts') in over 16s [CG109]. 2014*
3. *Raviele A, Giada F, Bergfeldt L, et al. Management of patients with palpitations: a position paper from the European Heart Rhythm Association. Europace. Jul 2011; 13(7): 920-34. PMID 21697315*
4. *January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Circulation. Dec 02 2014; 130(23): 2071-104. PMID 24682348*
5. *Mittal S, Movsowitz C, Steinberg JS. Ambulatory external electrocardiographic monitoring: focus on atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. Oct 18 2011; 58(17): 1741-9. PMID 21996384*
6. *Christensen LM, Krieger DW, Hojberg S, et al. Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation occurs often in cryptogenic ischaemic stroke. Final results from the SURPRISE study. Eur J Neurol. Jun 2014; 21(6): 884-9. PMID 24628954*
7. *Hoefman E, Bindels PJ, van Weert HC. Efficacy of diagnostic tools for detecting cardiac arrhythmias: systematic literature search. Neth Heart J. Nov 2010; 18(11): 543-51. PMID 21113379*
8. *Farris GR, Smith BG, Oates ET, et al. New atrial fibrillation diagnosed by 30-day rhythm monitoring. Am Heart J. Mar 2019; 209: 29-35. PMID 30639611*

9. *Turakhia MP, Hoang DD, Zimetbaum P, et al. Diagnostic utility of a novel leadless arrhythmia monitoring device. Am J Cardiol. Aug 15 2013; 112(4): 520-4. PMID 23672988*
10. *Barrett PM, Komatireddy R, Haaser S, et al. Comparison of 24-hour Holter monitoring with 14-day novel adhesive patch electrocardiographic monitoring. Am J Med. Jan 2014; 127(1): 95.e11-7. PMID 24384108*
11. *Solomon MD, Yang J, Sung SH, et al. Incidence and timing of potentially high-risk arrhythmias detected through long term continuous ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. Feb 17 2016; 16: 35. PMID 26883019*
12. *Wineinger NE, Barrett PM, Zhang Y, et al. Identification of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation subtypes in over 13,000 individuals. Heart Rhythm. Jan 2019; 16(1): 26-30. PMID 30118885*
13. *Go AS, Reynolds K, Yang J, et al. Association of Burden of Atrial Fibrillation With Risk of Ischemic Stroke in Adults With Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation: The KP-RHYTHM Study. JAMA Cardiol. Jul 01 2018; 3(7): 601-608. PMID 29799942*
14. *Bolourchi M, Batra AS. Diagnostic yield of patch ambulatory electrocardiogram monitoring in children (from a national registry). Am J Cardiol. Mar 01 2015; 115(5): 630-4. PMID 25591894*
15. *Eisenberg EE, Carlson SK, Doshi RH, et al. Chronic ambulatory monitoring: results of a large single-center experience. J Innovations Cardiac Rhythm Manage. Nov 2014;5:1818-1823*
16. *Schreiber D, Sattar A, Drigalla D, et al. Ambulatory cardiac monitoring for discharged emergency department patients with possible cardiac arrhythmias. West J Emerg Med. Mar 2014; 15(2): 194-8. PMID 24672611*
17. *Mullis AH, Ayoub K, Shah J, et al. Fluctuations in premature ventricular contraction burden can affect medical assessment and management. Heart Rhythm. Oct 2019; 16(10): 1570-1574. PMID 31004780*
18. *Reed MJ, Grubb NR, Lang CC, et al. Diagnostic yield of an ambulatory patch monitor in patients with unexplained syncope after initial evaluation in the emergency department: the PATCH-ED study. Emerg Med J. Aug 2018; 35(8): 477-485. PMID 29921622*
19. *Eysenck W, Freemantle N, Sulke N. A randomized trial evaluating the accuracy of AF detection by four external ambulatory ECG monitors compared to permanent pacemaker AF detection. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. Apr 2020; 57(3): 361-369. PMID 30741360*
20. *Kabali C, Xie X, Higgins C. Long-Term Continuous Ambulatory ECG Monitors and External Cardiac Loop Recorders for Cardiac Arrhythmia: A Health Technology Assessment. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser. 2017; 17(1): 1-56. PMID 28194254*
21. *Balmelli N, Naegeli B, Bertel O. Diagnostic yield of automatic and patient-triggered ambulatory cardiac event recording in the evaluation of patients with palpitations, dizziness, or syncope. Clin Cardiol. Apr 2003; 26(4): 173-6. PMID 12708623*
22. *Ermis C, Zhu AX, Pham S, et al. Comparison of automatic and patient-activated arrhythmia recordings by implantable loop recorders in the evaluation of syncope. Am J Cardiol. Oct 01 2003; 92(7): 815-9. PMID 14516882*

23. *Reiffel JA, Schwarzberg R, Murry M. Comparison of autotriggered memory loop recorders versus standard loop recorders versus 24-hour Holter monitors for arrhythmia detection. Am J Cardiol. May 01 2005; 95(9): 1055-9. PMID 15842970*
24. *Dagres N, Kottkamp H, Piorkowski C, et al. :Influence of the duration of Holter monitoring on the detection of arrhythmia recurrences after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: implications for patient follow-up. Int J Cardiol. Mar 18 2010; 139(3): 305-6. PMID 18990460*
25. *Chao TF, Lin YJ, Tsao HM, et al. CHADS (2) and CHA (2) DS (2)-VASc scores in the prediction of clinical outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation after catheter ablation. J Am Coll Cardiol. Nov 29 2011; 58(23): 2380-5. PMID 22115643*
26. *Kapa S, Epstein AE, Callans DJ, et al. Assessing arrhythmia burden after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation using an implantable loop recorder: the ABACUS study. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. Aug 2013; 24(8): 875-81. PMID 23577826*
27. *Verma A, Champagne J, Sapp J, et al. Discerning the incidence of symptomatic and asymptomatic episodes of atrial fibrillation before and after catheter ablation (DISCERN AF): a prospective, multicenter study. JAMA Intern Med. Jan 28 2013; 173(2): 149-56. PMID 23266597*
28. *Themistoclakis S, Corrado A, Marchlinski FE, et al. The risk of thromboembolism and need for oral anticoagulation after successful atrial fibrillation ablation. J Am Coll Cardiol. Feb 23 2010; 55(8): 735-43. PMID 20170810*
29. *Gumbinger C, Krumsdorf U, Veltkamp R, et al. Continuous monitoring versus HOLTER ECG for detection of atrial fibrillation in patients with stroke. Eur J Neurol. Feb 2012; 19(2): 253-7. PMID 21895885*
30. *Lazzaro MA, Krishnan K, Prabhakaran S. Detection of atrial fibrillation with concurrent holter monitoring and continuous cardiac telemetry following ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. Feb 2012; 21(2): 89-93. PMID 20656504*
31. *Cotter PE, Martin PJ, Ring L, et al. Incidence of atrial fibrillation detected by implantable loop recorders in unexplained stroke. Neurology. Apr 23 2013; 80(17): 1546-50. PMID 23535493*
32. *Miller DJ, Khan MA, Schultz LR, et al. Outpatient cardiac telemetry detects a high rate of atrial fibrillation in cryptogenic stroke. J Neurol Sci. Jan 15 2013; 324(1-2): 57-61. PMID 23102659*
33. *Sposato LA, Cipriano LE, Saposnik G, et al. Diagnosis of atrial fibrillation after stroke and transient ischaemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Neurol. Apr 2015; 14(4): 377-87. PMID 25748102*
34. *Kishore A, Vail A, Majid A, et al. Detection of atrial fibrillation after ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke. Feb 2014; 45(2): 520-6. PMID 24385275*
35. *Kamel H, Navi BB, Elijovich L, et al. Pilot randomized trial of outpatient cardiac monitoring after cryptogenic stroke. Stroke. Feb 2013; 44(2): 528-30. PMID 23192756*
36. *Higgins P, MacFarlane PW, Dawson J, et al. Noninvasive cardiac event monitoring to detect atrial fibrillation after ischemic stroke: a randomized, controlled trial. Stroke. Sep 2013; 44(9): 2525-31. PMID 23899913*

37. *Sinha AM, Diener HC, Morillo CA, et al. Cryptogenic Stroke and underlying Atrial Fibrillation (CRYSTAL AF): design and rationale. Am Heart J. Jul 2010; 160(1): 36-41.e1. PMID 20598970*
38. *Sanna T, Diener HC, Passman RS, et al. Cryptogenic stroke and underlying atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. Jun 26 2014; 370(26): 2478-86. PMID 24963567*
39. *Brachmann J, Morillo CA, Sanna T, et al. Uncovering Atrial Fibrillation Beyond Short-Term Monitoring in Cryptogenic Stroke Patients: Three-Year Results From the Cryptogenic Stroke and Underlying Atrial Fibrillation Trial. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. Jan 2016; 9(1): e003333. PMID 26763225*
40. *Gladstone DJ, Spring M, Dorian P, et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with cryptogenic stroke. N Engl J Med. Jun 26 2014; 370(26): 2467-77. PMID 24963566*
41. *Kaura A, Sztriha L, Chan FK, et al. Early prolonged ambulatory cardiac monitoring in stroke (EPACS): an open-label randomised controlled trial. Eur J Med Res. Jul 26 2019; 24(1): 25. PMID 31349792*
42. *Ritter MA, Kochhauser S, Duning T, et al. Occult atrial fibrillation in cryptogenic stroke: detection by 7-day electrocardiogram versus implantable cardiac monitors. Stroke. May 2013; 44(5): 1449-52. PMID 23449264*
43. *Etgen T, Hochreiter M, Mundel M, et al. Insertable cardiac event recorder in detection of atrial fibrillation after cryptogenic stroke: an audit report. Stroke. Jul 2013; 44(7): 2007-9. PMID 23674523*
44. *Tung CE, Su D, Turakhia MP, et al. Diagnostic Yield of Extended Cardiac Patch Monitoring in Patients with Stroke or TIA. Front Neurol. 2014; 5: 266. PMID 25628595*
45. *Rosenberg MA, Samuel M, Thosani A, et al. Use of a noninvasive continuous monitoring device in the management of atrial fibrillation: a pilot study. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. Mar 2013; 36(3): 328-33. PMID 23240827*
46. *Savelieva I, Camm AJ. Clinical relevance of silent atrial fibrillation: prevalence, prognosis, quality of life, and management. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. Jun 2000; 4(2): 369-82. PMID 10936003*
47. *Israel CW, Gronefeld G, Ehrlich JR, et al. Long-term risk of recurrent atrial fibrillation as documented by an implantable monitoring device: implications for optimal patient care. J Am Coll Cardiol. Jan 07 2004; 43(1): 47-52. PMID 14715182*
48. *Page RL, Wilkinson WE, Clair WK, et al. Asymptomatic arrhythmias in patients with symptomatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia. Circulation. Jan 1994; 89(1): 224-7. PMID 8281651*
49. *Hart RG, Pearce LA, Rothbart RM, et al. Stroke with intermittent atrial fibrillation: incidence and predictors during aspirin therapy. Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Investigators. J Am Coll Cardiol. Jan 2000; 35(1): 183-7. PMID 10636278*
50. *Hohnloser SH, Pajitnev D, Pogue J, et al. Incidence of stroke in paroxysmal versus sustained atrial fibrillation in patients taking oral anticoagulation or combined antiplatelet therapy: an ACTIVE W Substudy. J Am Coll Cardiol. Nov 27 2007; 50(22): 2156-61. PMID 18036454*

51. *Ganesan AN, Chew DP, Hartshorne T, et al. The impact of atrial fibrillation type on the risk of thromboembolism, mortality, and bleeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Heart J. May 21 2016; 37(20): 1591-602. PMID 26888184*
52. *Fitzmaurice DA, Hobbs FD, Jowett S, et al. Screening versus routine practice in detection of atrial fibrillation in patients aged 65 or over: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ. Aug 25 2007; 335(7616): 383. PMID 17673732*
53. *Halcox JPJ, Wareham K, Cardew A, et al. Assessment of Remote Heart Rhythm Sampling Using the AliveCor Heart Monitor to Screen for Atrial Fibrillation: The REHEARSE-AF Study. Circulation. Nov 07 2017; 136(19): 1784-1794. PMID 28851729*
54. *Gladstone DJ, Wachter R, Schmalstieg-Bahr K, et al. Screening for Atrial Fibrillation in the Older Population: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Cardiol. May 01 2021; 6(5): 558-567. PMID 33625468*
55. *Svendsen JH, Diederichsen SZ, Hojberg S, et al. Implantable loop recorder detection of atrial fibrillation to prevent stroke (The LOOP Study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. Oct 23 2021; 398(10310): 1507-1516. PMID 34469766*
56. *Steinhubl SR, Waalen J, Edwards AM, et al. Effect of a Home-Based Wearable Continuous ECG Monitoring Patch on Detection of Undiagnosed Atrial Fibrillation: The mSToPS Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. Jul 10 2018; 320(2): 146-155. PMID 29998336*
57. *Turakhia MP, Ullal AJ, Hoang DD, et al. Feasibility of extended ambulatory electrocardiogram monitoring to identify silent atrial fibrillation in high-risk patients: the Screening Study for Undiagnosed Atrial Fibrillation (STUDY-AF). Clin Cardiol. May 2015; 38(5): 285-92. PMID 25873476*
58. *Heckbert SR, Austin TR, Jensen PN, et al. Yield and consistency of arrhythmia detection with patch electrocardiographic monitoring: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. J Electrocardiol. Nov 2018; 51(6): 997-1002. PMID 30497763*
59. *Steinhubl SR, Waalen J, Sanyal A, et al. Three year clinical outcomes in a nationwide, observational, siteless clinical trial of atrial fibrillation screening-mHealth Screening to Prevent Strokes (mSToPS). PLoS One. 2021; 16(10): e0258276. PMID 34610049*
60. *Diederichsen SZ, Frederiksen KS, Xing LY, et al. Severity and Etiology of Incident Stroke in Patients Screened for Atrial Fibrillation vs Usual Care and the Impact of Prior Stroke: A Post Hoc Analysis of the LOOP Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Neurol. Oct 01 2022; 79(10): 997-1004. PMID 36036546*
61. *Diederichsen SZ, Xing LY, Frodi DM, et al. Prevalence and Prognostic Significance of Bradyarrhythmias in Patients Screened for Atrial Fibrillation vs Usual Care: Post Hoc Analysis of the LOOP Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Cardiol. Apr 01 2023; 8(4): 326-334. PMID 36790817*
62. *Solbiati M, Casazza G, Dipaola F, et al. The diagnostic yield of implantable loop recorders in unexplained syncope: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. Mar 15 2017; 231: 170-176. PMID 28052814*

63. *Burkowitz J, Merzenich C, Grassme K, et al. Insertable cardiac monitors in the diagnosis of syncope and the detection of atrial fibrillation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. Aug 2016; 23(12): 1261-72. PMID 26864396*
64. *Da Costa A, Defaye P, Romeyer-Bouchard C, et al. Clinical impact of the implantable loop recorder in patients with isolated syncope, bundle branch block and negative workup: a randomized multicentre prospective study. Arch Cardiovasc Dis. Mar 2013; 106(3): 146-54. PMID 23582676*
65. *Farwell DJ, Freemantle N, Sulke AN. Use of implantable loop recorders in the diagnosis and management of syncope. Eur Heart J. Jul 2004; 25(14): 1257-63. PMID 15246645*
66. *Krahn AD, Klein GJ, Yee R, et al. Randomized assessment of syncope trial: conventional diagnostic testing versus a prolonged monitoring strategy. Circulation. Jul 03 2001; 104(1): 46-51. PMID 11435336*
67. *Afzal MR, Gunda S, Waheed S, et al. Role of Outpatient Cardiac Rhythm Monitoring in Cryptogenic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. Oct 2015; 38(10): 1236-45. PMID 26172621*
68. *Podoleanu C, DaCosta A, Defaye P, et al. Early use of an implantable loop recorder in syncope evaluation: a randomized study in the context of the French healthcare system (FRESH study). Arch Cardiovasc Dis. Oct 2014; 107(10): 546-52. PMID 25241220*
69. *Giada F, Gulizia M, Francese M, et al. Recurrent unexplained palpitations (RUP) study comparison of implantable loop recorder versus conventional diagnostic strategy. J Am Coll Cardiol. May 15 2007; 49(19): 1951-6. PMID 17498580*
70. *Ciconte G, Saviano M, Giannelli L, et al. Atrial fibrillation detection using a novel three-vector cardiac implantable monitor: the atrial fibrillation detect study. Europace. Jul 01 2017; 19(7): 1101-1108. PMID 27702865*
71. *Nolker G, Mayer J, Boldt LH, et al. Performance of an Implantable Cardiac Monitor to Detect Atrial Fibrillation: Results of the DETECT AF Study. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. Dec 2016; 27(12): 1403-1410. PMID 27565119*
72. *Sanders P, Purerfellner H, Pokushalov E, et al. Performance of a new atrial fibrillation detection algorithm in a miniaturized insertable cardiac monitor: Results from the Reveal LINQ Usability Study. Heart Rhythm. Jul 2016; 13(7): 1425-30. PMID 26961298*
73. *Hanke T, Charitos EI, Stierle U, et al. Twenty-four-hour holter monitor follow-up does not provide accurate heart rhythm status after surgical atrial fibrillation ablation therapy: up to 12 months experience with a novel permanently implantable heart rhythm monitor device. Circulation. Sep 15 2009; 120(11 Suppl): S177-84. PMID 19752365*
74. *Hindricks G, Pokushalov E, Urban L, et al. Performance of a new leadless implantable cardiac monitor in detecting and quantifying atrial fibrillation: Results of the XPECT trial. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. Apr 2010; 3(2): 141-7. PMID 20160169*
75. *Ziegler PD, Rogers JD, Ferreira SW, et al. Real-World Experience with Insertable Cardiac Monitors to Find Atrial Fibrillation in Cryptogenic Stroke. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2015; 40(3-4): 175-81. PMID 26314298*

76. *Edvardsson N, Garutti C, Rieger G, et al. Unexplained syncope: implications of age and gender on patient characteristics and evaluation, the diagnostic yield of an implantable loop recorder, and the subsequent treatment. Clin Cardiol. Oct 2014; 37(10): 618-25. PMID 24890550*
77. *Bhangu J, McMahon CG, Hall P, et al. Long-term cardiac monitoring in older adults with unexplained falls and syncope. Heart. May 2016; 102(9): 681-6. PMID 26822427*
78. *Maines M, Zorzi A, Tomasi G, et al. Clinical impact, safety, and accuracy of the remotely monitored implantable loop recorder Medtronic Reveal LINQTM. Europace. Jun 01 2018; 20(6): 1050-1057. PMID 29016753*
79. *Magnusson PM, Olszowka M, Wallhagen M, et al. Outcome of implantable loop recorder evaluation. Cardiol J. 2018; 25(3): 363-370. PMID 28840588*
80. *Mittal S, Sanders P, Pokushalov E, et al. Safety Profile of a Miniaturized Insertable Cardiac Monitor: Results from Two Prospective Trials. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. Dec 2015; 38(12): 1464-9. PMID 26412309*
81. *Rothman SA, Laughlin JC, Seltzer J, et al. The diagnosis of cardiac arrhythmias: a prospective multi-center randomized study comparing mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry versus standard loop event monitoring. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. Mar 2007; 18(3): 241-7. PMID 17318994*
82. *Derkac WM, Finkelmeier JR, Horgan DJ, et al. Diagnostic yield of asymptomatic arrhythmias detected by mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry and autotrigger looping event cardiac monitors. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. Dec 2017; 28(12): 1475-1478. PMID 28940881*
83. *Kadish AH, Reiffel JA, Clauser J, et al. Frequency of serious arrhythmias detected with ambulatory cardiac telemetry. Am J Cardiol. May 01 2010; 105(9): 1313-6. PMID 20403485*
84. *Joshi AK, Kowey PR, Prystowsky EN, et al. First experience with a Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry (MCOT) system for the diagnosis and management of cardiac arrhythmia. Am J Cardiol. Apr 01 2005; 95(7): 878-81. PMID 15781022*
85. *Olson JA, Fouts AM, Padanilam BJ, et al. Utility of mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry for the diagnosis of palpitations, presyncope, syncope, and the assessment of therapy efficacy. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. May 2007; 18(5): 473-7. PMID 17343724*
86. *Saarel EV, Doratotaj S, Sterba R. Initial experience with novel mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry for children and adolescents with suspected arrhythmia. Congenit Heart Dis. Jan-Feb 2008; 3(1): 33-8. PMID 18373747*
87. *Tayal AH, Tian M, Kelly KM, et al. Atrial fibrillation detected by mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry in cryptogenic TIA or stroke. Neurology. Nov 18 2008; 71(21): 1696-701. PMID 18815386*
88. *Favilla CG, Ingala E, Jara J, et al. Predictors of finding occult atrial fibrillation after cryptogenic stroke. Stroke. May 2015; 46(5): 1210-5. PMID 25851771*
89. *Kalani R, Bernstein R, Passman R, et al. Low Yield of Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry after Cryptogenic Stroke in Patients with Extensive Cardiac Imaging. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. Sep 2015; 24(9): 2069-73. PMID 26139455*
90. *Narasimha D, Hanna N, Beck H, et al. Validation of a smartphone-based event recorder for arrhythmia detection. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. May 2018; 41(5): 487-494. PMID 29493801*

91. *Dorr M, Nohturfft V, Brasier N, et al. The WATCH AF Trial: SmartWATCHes for Detection of Atrial Fibrillation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. Feb 2019; 5(2): 199-208. PMID 30784691*
92. *Steinberg JS, Varma N, Cygankiewicz I, et al. 2017 ISHNE-HRS expert consensus statement on ambulatory ECG and external cardiac monitoring/telemetry. Heart Rhythm. Jul 2017; 14(7): e55-e96. PMID 28495301*
93. *January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Heart Rhythm. Aug 2019; 16(8): e66-e93. PMID 30703530*
94. *Shen WK, Sheldon RS, Benditt DG, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Patients With Syncope: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. Aug 01 2017; 70(5): 620-663. PMID 28286222*
95. *Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: Executive summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Heart Rhythm. Oct 2018; 15(10): e190-e252. PMID 29097320*
96. *Davidson KW, Barry MJ, Mangione CM, et al. Screening for Atrial Fibrillation: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. Jan 25 2022; 327(4): 360-367. PMID 35076659*
97. *Calkins H, Kuck KH, Cappato R, et al. 2012 HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for patient selection, procedural techniques, patient management and follow-up, definitions, endpoints, and research trial design. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. Mar 2012; 33(2): 171-257. PMID 22382715*
98. *Calkins H, Hindricks G, Cappato R, et al. 2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: Executive summary. J Arrhythm. Oct 2017; 33(5): 369-409. PMID 29021841*
99. *Brignole M, Vardas P, Hoffman E, et al. Indications for the use of diagnostic implantable and external ECG loop recorders. Europace. May 2009; 11(5): 671-87. PMID 19401342*
100. *Culebras A, Messe SR, Chaturvedi S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guideline update: prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. Feb 25 2014; 82(8): 716-24. PMID 24566225*
101. *Davidson KW, Barry MJ, Mangione CM, et al. Screening for Atrial Fibrillation: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. Jan 25 2022; 327(4): 360-367. PMID 35076659*
102. *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Electrocardiographic Services (20.15). 2004*

103. *Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 2.02.08, Ambulatory Event Monitors and Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry. June 2023*

X. POLICY HISTORY

TOP

MP 2.036	**11/6/20 Consensus review.** No change to policy statement. References updated.
	1/1/21 Administrative review. New 2021 codes added to the policy as medically necessary with criteria; deleted codes removed.
	06/15/2021 Coding updated: Added new code 0650T
	11/11/2021 Minor review. • Added "The use of AEM more than once in any give 30 day period is not medically necessary" to the AEM section • MCOT section o Added "when the use of a Holter Monitor or other AEM has not or would not be diagnostic" to the first paragraph o Added management and treatment to first bullet o Removed criteria point requesting provider document prior testing o Added additional criteria to include discontinuation of anticoagulation therapy, regulating antiarrhythmic drug dosages and surgical/ablative procedures o Deleted ICD/pacemaker criteria except for condition of device failure/malfunction FEP language added. Background, Rationale and References updated.
	08/15/2022 Administrative update. ICD10 codes I20.2, I25.112, I25.702, I25.712, I25.722, I25.732, I25.752, I25.762, I25.792, I47.20, I47.29 added; I47.2 removed. Effective 10/1/2022.
	12/1/2022 Administrative update. Deleted codes 0497T & 0498T Effective 1/1/23.
	12/2/2022 Consensus review. No change to policy statement. Cross Referenced policies removed. Background, Rationale and References updated.
	06/15/2023 Consensus review. No change to policy statement. Rationale updated. References added.
	09/11/2023 Administrative update. ICD10 code definitions revised due to new code. Added ICD10 codes I24.81, I24.89, I47.10, I47.11 and I47.19. Removed ICD10 I47.1. Effective 10/1/2023
	12/12/2023 Admin Update: Removed deleted code G2066. Effective 1/1/24.